UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,260	07/07/2003	Robert Joseph Lyons	124722-1	1259	
6147 GENERAL FI	7590 08/23/200 LECTRIC COMPANY	EXAMINER			
GLOBAL RESEARCH			PARRIES, DRU M		
	DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59 UNA, NY 12309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2836		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assists Commence	10/604,260	LYONS, ROBERT JOSEPH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dru M. Parries	2836			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ju	<u>ıly 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	r diestiem requirement.				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ot	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	ate			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/604,260 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

'DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 12-19 are objected to because of the following informalities: the Examiner believes that all of these claims are intended to be dependent upon independent claim 11. If that is the case, the preamble of these claims need to be changed from "A system..." to "A method..." Also, some of these claims need to change their claim dependency. Appropriate correction and/or explanation is required. The Examiner will examine these claims as being directly or indirectly dependent upon claim 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soucy (6,476,510) and Lacy (6,510,369). Soucy teaches a power management system for an aircraft. He teaches plurality of secondary electrical loads (direct generator, indirect load, Fig. 1), at least one aircraft flight condition sensor (engine speed sensor), and a controller (fuel supply controller & governor) coupled to the plurality of loads and the sensor. Soucy also teaches a plurality of indirect loads (Col. 3, lines 41-45). Soucy doesn't explicitly teach how the controller will control the distribution of power in the system for the system to work efficiently. Lacy teaches a system with a controller and primary (uncontrolled residential) and secondary (controlled residential) electrical loads. Lacy teaches a controller that can determine the source

Art Unit: 2836

secondary power extraction, current operating conditions of the system, and the source secondary power extraction limit based on current operating conditions, and can operate the plurality of secondary electrical loads in response to the source secondary power extraction and limit (Abstract, lines 7-12). The controller, while determining current operating conditions, determines the source primary power extraction (power output to uncontrolled residential loads). Lacy also teaches the controller operating the secondary loads in priority when the source secondary power extraction is less than or equal to the source secondary power extraction limit (Col. 5, lines 56-64). He also teaches the controller limiting the operation of the secondary electrical loads when the source secondary power extraction is greater than the limit, and subsequently preventing the source secondary power extraction to exceed the limit. (Col. 4, lines 1-14). In general, Lacy teaches a system of power distribution where the power delivered to loads in priority depends on the output power limitations of its source. (Col. 2, lines 59-67; Col. 3, lines 28-36; Col. 4, lines 32-44) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Lacy's method of power distribution into Soucy's invention so that the engine and the direct loads can supply power to as many loads as possible in the safest possible way, and to make sure that the engine and the direct loads never exceeds its output capabilities which may lead to malfunction. Also, the controller inherently determines power extraction from at least one direct secondary load in order to determine source secondary power extraction.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dru M. Parries whose telephone number is (571) 272-8542. The

Application/Control Number: 10/604,260 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday from 9:00am to 6:00pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Sherry, can be reached on 571-272-2800 x 36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMP

8-3-2007

MICHAEL SHEHHY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER